

# Digital economy: theory and practice

## Цифровая экономика: теория и практика

Research article

UDC 658.5

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18721/JE.18601>

EDN: <https://elibrary/JFAYJK>



### AI-BASED TECHNOLOGICAL TRANSFORMATION AS A DRIVER FOR DEVELOPMENT OF OIL REFINING MARKET: CASE STUDY OF INDONESIA

W. Muljono<sup>1</sup> , S. Setiyawati<sup>2</sup> ,  
P.P. Setiawati<sup>3</sup> , P.A. Setyanto<sup>4</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Ministry of Communications and Digital Affairs (Komdigi), Jakarta, Indonesia;

<sup>2</sup> Prof. Dr. Moestopo University, Jakarta, Indonesia;

<sup>3</sup> National Research University "Higher School of Economics",  
Moscow, Russian Federation;

<sup>4</sup> Bandung Institute of Technology (Institut Teknologi Bandung),  
Bandung, Indonesia

✉ [wiryantamuljono@gmail.com](mailto:wiryantamuljono@gmail.com)

**Abstract.** This study investigates the multifaceted relationship between AI-driven technological transformation and the demand for downstream petroleum products in achieving Indonesia's long-term economic growth goals, aligning with the "Golden Indonesia 2045" vision. Employing a mixed-methods approach, the research quantitatively assesses the immediate impact of AI on downstream petroleum operational efficiency (the first hypothesis) and its subsequent influence on critical macroeconomic indicators like GDP growth and the oil and gas trade balance (the second hypothesis). Concurrently, it qualitatively examines the strategic alignment of national AI policies, such as the National AI Strategy from 2020 to 2045 (Strategi Nasional Kecerdasan Artifisial, Stranas KA) and the "Making Indonesia 4.0" roadmap, with downstream energy development plans (the third hypothesis), while identifying associated implementation challenges. Findings reveal a significant positive correlation between AI adoption and improved operational efficiency within the downstream sector (supporting the first hypothesis). This is substantiated by evidence of sophisticated AI applications, including predictive maintenance (PdM) powered by advanced computational methods, which ensures continuous operation and extends the life of critical hydrocarbon assets. Furthermore, AI-integrated fuel blending systems demonstrate high precision, achieving a coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ ) of 0.99 during validation, which showcases robust real-time optimization capability that surpasses traditional modeling and reduces waste. However, the analysis of macroeconomic leverage provides only partial support for the second hypothesis. While AI-influenced efficiency – by maximizing domestic output and optimizing costs – shows a statistically significant, albeit moderate, positive impact on reducing the oil and gas trade deficit and boosting GDP growth, this effect is severely limited by persistent structural issues. Specifically, petroleum imports have a large and negative impact on Indonesia's economic growth. The operational savings are currently dwarfed by the volume of necessary imports and the enormous fiscal burden imposed by incomplete fuel subsidy reforms, which peaked at 2.8% of GDP in 2022. The oil and gas trade balance persists in a deficit, recording –1.55 billion USD in May 2025 and –1.58 billion USD in July 2025, even amidst an overall national trade surplus. The study confirms a strong top-down strategic alignment between national AI and energy sector policies. Nevertheless, significant implementation hurdles highlight the necessity for targeted policy intervention (supporting the third hypothesis). These pervasive barriers include chronic infrastructure gaps, weak data governance frameworks, severe digital skills shortages

requiring systematic improvement from foundational education, high initial investment costs and profound organizational inertia within large enterprises, leading to a “pilot trap”, where successful small-scale projects fail to scale up due to cultural and systems integration difficulties. Ultimately, these challenges temper the transformative potential of AI, shifting its current role primarily towards improving operational efficiency within the legacy system. For AI to become a driver of fundamental structural change – the necessary process of reallocating labor and resources toward higher-productivity modern industries – policy interventions must link AI investment to comprehensive energy subsidy reform and the acceleration of the new and renewable energy sector. This research bridges a critical gap in the literature by offering an integrated analysis of technology adoption in a resource-dependent emerging economy, providing evidence-based recommendations for policymakers and industry leaders to effectively leverage AI for sustainable and structural economic growth.

**Keywords:** artificial intelligence, digital transformation, oil refining market, operational efficiency, economic development, Indonesia, machine learning, technological transformation

**Citation:** Muljono W., Setiyawati S., Setiawati P.P., Setyanto P.A. (2025) AI-based technological transformation as a driver for development of oil refining market: case study of Indonesia. *П-Economy*, 18 (6), 7–34. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18721/JE.18601>

Научная статья

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18721/JE.18601>



## ТЕХНОЛОГИЧЕСКАЯ ТРАНСФОРМАЦИЯ НА ОСНОВЕ ИСКУССТВЕННОГО ИНТЕЛЛЕКТА КАК ДРАЙВЕР РАЗВИТИЯ РЫНКА НЕФТЕПЕРЕРАБОТКИ (НА ПРИМЕРЕ ИНДОНЕЗИИ)

В. Мильоно<sup>1</sup> , Ш. Сетиявати<sup>2</sup> ,  
П.П. Сетиавати<sup>3</sup> , П.А. Сетьянто<sup>4</sup> 

<sup>1</sup> Министерство связи и цифровых технологий, Джакарта, Индонезия;

<sup>2</sup> Университет проф. д-ра Моэстопо, Джакарта, Индонезия;

<sup>3</sup> Национальный исследовательский университет «Высшая школа экономики», Москва, Российская Федерация;

<sup>4</sup> Бандунгский технологический институт, Бандунг, Индонезия

✉ [wiryantamuljono@gmail.com](mailto:wiryantamuljono@gmail.com)

**Аннотация.** В данном исследовании рассматривается многогранная взаимосвязь между технологической трансформацией на основе искусственного интеллекта (ИИ) и спросом на продукцию нефтепереработки в достижении долгосрочных целей экономического роста Индонезии, согласующихся с видением «Золотая Индонезия 2045». Используя смешанный методологический подход, исследование количественно оценивает непосредственное влияние ИИ на эффективность работы в сфере нефтепереработки (первая гипотеза) и его последующее влияние на важнейшие макроэкономические показатели, такие как рост ВВП и торговый баланс нефти и газа (вторая гипотеза). Одновременно с этим исследование проводит качественный анализ стратегической согласованности национальных политик в области ИИ, таких как Национальная стратегия развития искусственного интеллекта (Stranas KA) и дорожная карта «Создавая Индонезию 4.0», с планами развития нефтеперерабатывающей отрасли (третья гипотеза), выявляя при этом сопутствующие проблемы реализации. Результаты показывают значительную положительную корреляцию между внедрением ИИ и повышением операционной эффективности в нефтеперерабатывающем секторе (что подтверждает первую гипотезу). Это согласуется с данными о применении сложных приложений ИИ, включая прогнозное техническое обслуживание (PdM), основанное на передовых вычислительных методах, которое обеспечивает непрерывную работу и продлевает срок службы критически важных углеводородных активов. Более того, интегрированные с ИИ системы смешения топлива демонстрируют высокую точность, достигая коэффициента детерминации ( $R^2$ ) 0,99 при валидации,



что демонстрирует надежную способность оптимизации в реальном времени, превосходящую традиционное моделирование и сокращающую отходы. Однако анализ макроэкономического рычага лишь частично подтверждает вторую гипотезу. Хотя эффективность, достигаемая под влиянием ИИ, — за счет максимизации внутреннего производства и оптимизации затрат — демонстрирует статистически значимое, пусть и умеренное, положительное влияние на сокращение дефицита торгового баланса нефти и газа и стимулирование роста ВВП, этот эффект существенно ограничен сохраняющимися структурными проблемами. В частности, импорт нефтепродуктов оказывает значительное и негативное воздействие на рост экономики Индонезии. Операционная экономия в настоящее время затмевается объемом необходимого импорта и огромным фискальным бременем, обусловленным незавершенными реформами субсидирования топлива, которое достигло пика в 2,8% ВВП в 2022 г. Торговый баланс нефти и газа по-прежнему сохраняет дефицит, составивший –1,55 млрд долл. США в мае 2025 г. и –1,58 млрд долл. США в июле 2025 г., даже на фоне общего профицита национальной торговли. Исследование подтверждает сильную стратегическую согласованность сверху вниз между национальной политикой в области ИИ и энергетического сектора. Тем не менее значительные препятствия на пути реализации подчеркивают необходимость целенаправленного политического вмешательства (что подтверждает третью гипотезу). Эти всеобъемлющие барьеры включают хронические пробелы в инфраструктуре, слабые структуры управления данными, острую нехватку цифровых навыков, требующую систематического улучшения со стороны базового образования, высокие первоначальные инвестиционные затраты и глубокую организационную инерцию внутри крупных предприятий, приводящую к «ловушке пилотных проектов», когда небольшие успешные проекты не могут масштабироваться из-за трудностей с культурной и системной интеграцией. В конечном счете, эти проблемы сдерживают преобразующий потенциал ИИ, смещая его нынешнюю роль, прежде всего, в сторону повышения операционной эффективности в рамках устаревшей системы. Чтобы ИИ стал движущей силой фундаментальных структурных изменений — необходимого процесса перераспределения рабочей силы и ресурсов в пользу современных отраслей с более высокой производительностью — политические меры должны увязывать инвестиции в ИИ с комплексной реформой субсидирования энергетики и ускорением развития сектора новой и возобновляемой энергетики. Данное исследование восполняет критический пробел в литературе, предлагая комплексный анализ внедрения технологий в развивающейся ресурсозависимой экономике и предоставляя основанные на фактических данных рекомендации для политиков и руководителей отраслей по эффективному использованию ИИ для обеспечения устойчивого и структурного экономического роста.

**Ключевые слова:** искусственный интеллект, цифровая трансформация, переработка нефти, экономический рост, Индонезия, эксплуатационная эффективность, торговый баланс, проблемы внедрения

**Для цитирования:** Мульоно В., Сетиявати Ш., Сетиявати П.П., Сетьянто П.А. (2025) Технологическая трансформация на основе искусственного интеллекта как драйвер развития рынка нефтепереработки (на примере Индонезии). *П-Economy*, 18 (6), 7–34. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18721/JE.18601>

## Introduction

Indonesia's high economic growth is predicted to raise fuel oil demand, solidifying its growing influence in the global energy market. The IESR 2022 report<sup>1</sup> highlights that the country is Southeast Asia's largest energy consumer and is among the top primary energy consumers in the Asia Pacific region, following China, India, Japan and South Korea. This increased energy consumption, coupled with the projected near-term (2025 and 2026) annual GDP growth of 4.8%, underscores Indonesia's expanding role on the global energy stage<sup>2</sup>.

This expansion will likely drive higher energy consumption across all sectors, including transport and industry. With an expanding economy, energy consumption is projected to nearly triple over the

<sup>1</sup> Institute for Essential Services Reform (IESR) (2023) Indonesia energy transition outlook 2023. Tracking progress of energy transition in Indonesia: Pursuing energy security in the time of transition. [online] Available at: [https://iesr.or.id/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/Indonesia-Energy-Transition-Outlook\\_2023.pdf](https://iesr.or.id/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/Indonesia-Energy-Transition-Outlook_2023.pdf) [Accessed 30.10.2025].

<sup>2</sup> International Monetary Fund (2025) World economic outlook: A critical juncture amid policy shifts. [online] Available at: <https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/Issues/2025/04/22/world-economic-outlook-april-2025> [Accessed 30.10.2025].

next few decades, from 195 million tonnes of oil equivalent in 2020 to 556.5 million tonnes of oil equivalent in 2060. Fuel oil, along with electricity, will dominate the increase in energy demand. The transportation sector’s heavy reliance on gasoline is expected to continue until at least 2060, even with the rise of electric vehicles.

Based on CEIC data<sup>3</sup>, Indonesian oil consumption showed a strong upward trend from 1965 to 2023, peaking at 1.62 million barrels per day in 2018. This growth can be attributed to demographic and economic factors, including population growth, urbanization, the emergence of a middle class and industrial expansion. However, recent data indicates a slower rate of increase (Fig. 1).

To understand why Indonesia’s oil use has grown, we need to study the connection between consumption and several key factors: GDP per capita; urbanization rates; transportation sector development; energy policy decisions. This type of analysis would provide valuable insights for informing future energy policy and promoting energy diversification in Indonesia.

Indonesia is a net importer of crude oil and petroleum products, a trend that has accelerated in recent years. As domestic demand for fuel oil rises, the country’s import needs will grow, affecting global oil prices and supply chains. With its significant population and economy, Indonesia’s energy consumption patterns give it greater influence in regional and international energy forums such as ASEAN, G20, APEC and BRICS+.

To meet its growing energy demand and strengthen its market position, Indonesia faces challenges. The government has set renewable energy targets, it has publicly acknowledged the continued necessity of fossil fuels like oil and gas to support its growing energy needs. Mobilizing both local and foreign investment is crucial for scaling up energy projects. Energy policy and market regulations have faced challenges, including subsidies and regulatory uncertainties.

PwC’s 2023 report<sup>4</sup> showed Indonesia as the sixth-largest liquefied natural gas (LNG) exporter with a capacity of 23.3 million tonnes per annum, but oil production was declining, necessitating imports to meet increasing domestic consumption. The report also highlighted a significant shortfall in Indonesia’s renewable energy investment targets and noted the impact of global energy volatility and geopolitical instability on the sector.

In other respects, Indonesia’s crude oil production struggled to meet its rising consumption, which increased from 1400 thousand barrels of oil per day in 2020 to 1585 thousand barrels of oil per day in 2022, leading to increased oil imports. Whereas Indonesia’s gas production surpassed the State Budget (APBN) target by achieving 6802 million standard cubic feet per day in 2023.




Fig. 1. Indonesia’s oil consumption<sup>5</sup>

<sup>3</sup> CEIC Data (2024) Indonesia Oil Consumption, 1965–2024. [online] Available at: <https://www.ceicdata.com/en/indicator/indonesia/oil-consumption> [Accessed 30.10.2025].

<sup>4</sup> PwC Indonesia (2023) Oil and Gas in Indonesia: Investment and Taxation Guide. [online] Available at: <https://www.pwc.com/id/en/energy-utilities-mining/assets/oil-and-gas/oil-gas-guide-2023.pdf> [Accessed 30.10.2025].

<sup>5</sup> CEIC Data (2024) Indonesia Oil Consumption, 1965–2024. [online] Available at: <https://www.ceicdata.com/en/indicator/indonesia/oil-consumption> [Accessed 30.10.2025].

Indonesia is striving to achieve significant economic growth, aiming to be a top global economy by 2045, underpinned by a dual-pronged strategy involving technological advancement and robust management of its traditional energy sector. The country has made digital transformation a national priority, with initiatives like “Making Indonesia 4.0” and the National AI Strategy from 2020 to 2045 (Strategi Nasional Kecerdasan Artifisial – Stranas KA) targeting increased productivity, competitiveness and innovation. AI is projected to be a key driver with potential to contribute hundreds of billions of dollars to the national GDP by 2030 by enhancing efficiency and creating new opportunities across various sectors.

Concurrently, Indonesia’s downstream petroleum industry remains central to the economy, supplying crucial energy for transportation, manufacturing and other sectors. Historically, the oil and gas sector has been a vital source of revenue and economic stability, although its contribution and future are now influenced by global shifts toward renewable energy.

### **Problem statement**

Despite Indonesia’s rapid digital progress and AI adoption, several challenges and a significant research gap exist at the intersection of technological transformation and the downstream petroleum sector. While AI presents immense potential for optimizing refinery operations, improving supply chain logistics and managing consumer demand, its implementation is hindered by persistent issues. These include infrastructure limitations, such as low broadband penetration in many areas and the need to develop a skilled workforce capable of leveraging AI effectively.

A critical issue for the downstream petroleum sector is the persistent capacity shortfall, which necessitates reliance on imported oil and creates vulnerabilities for national energy security and the balance of payments. Moreover, most existing research treats the digital economy and energy sectors as separate entities.

There is a lack of comprehensive studies that quantitatively and qualitatively explore how specific AI-driven advancements within Indonesia’s downstream petroleum industry can mitigate these challenges, reduce import dependence and, in turn, propel overall economic growth. This study addresses this gap by analyzing the integrated impact of AI digital advancement and downstream petroleum demand on Indonesia’s economic expansion.

### **Research objectives**

The main aim of this study is to investigate the role of AI in driving operational efficiency within Indonesia’s downstream petroleum industry and its subsequent impact on economic growth. To achieve this, the study seeks to answer the following specific research questions:

1. To what extent has the adoption of AI-driven technologies in Indonesia’s downstream petroleum industry influenced its operational efficiency (e.g., refinery capacity utilization, distribution logistics)?
2. How has the increased operational efficiency of the downstream petroleum sector, potentially enabled by AI, impacted Indonesia’s trade balance and reduced its dependency on imported petroleum products over the period from 2015 to 2024?
3. What are the key drivers, challenges and strategic considerations for implementing AI technologies within Indonesia’s downstream petroleum sector to support sustained economic growth?
4. How do the insights from expert interviews and policy documents contextualize the quantitative findings regarding the interplay between AI, the downstream petroleum sector and Indonesia’s economic development?

### **Hypotheses**

Based on the existing literature and the identified research gap, this study will test the following hypotheses:

- The first hypothesis, H1 (Quantitative): Increased adoption of AI technologies, such as predictive analytics for refinery optimization and smart logistics management, is positively correlated with improved operational efficiency within Indonesia's downstream petroleum industry.

- Null Hypothesis (H01): There is no significant correlation between the adoption of AI technologies and the operational efficiency of Indonesia's downstream petroleum industry.

- The second hypothesis, H2 (Quantitative): Improvements in the operational efficiency of Indonesia's downstream petroleum sector, in part driven by AI, have a significant positive impact on reducing the oil and gas trade deficit and increasing overall economic growth.

- Null Hypothesis (H02): Improvements in the operational efficiency of Indonesia's downstream petroleum sector do not have a significant positive impact on reducing the oil and gas trade deficit or increasing overall economic growth.

- The third hypothesis, H3 (Mixed-methods): Strategic alignment between national AI and digital transformation policies (e.g., "Making Indonesia 4.0") and downstream petroleum sector development plans is a crucial enabler for realizing AI's potential to reduce import dependency and stimulate economic growth. However, this potential is constrained by implementation challenges related to infrastructure gaps, skills shortages and organizational inertia.

- Null Hypothesis (H03): Strategic alignment between national AI policy and downstream sector plans does not significantly affect the adoption of AI, or implementation challenges are not relevant to the outcome.

These hypotheses provide a clear and testable framework for investigating the complex interplay between technological transformation, energy sector dynamics and economic outcomes in the Indonesian context.

The paper employs a mixed-methods research design to investigate the relationship between AI adoption, the downstream petroleum industry and economic outcomes in Indonesia.

### **Literature review**

The body of scholarly literature addressing Indonesia's economic development falls broadly into three distinct areas:

- 1) studies on digital transformation and AI adoption,
- 2) analyses of the energy and downstream petroleum sector,
- 3) broader macroeconomic research.

This review synthesizes key findings from these areas, critically evaluating existing research to identify critical intersections and highlight the gaps this study aims to address.

### **AI adoption and digital transformation in Indonesia**

AI is revolutionizing the way state-owned enterprises plan and forecast demand in the supply chain. By leveraging massive amounts of data, advanced algorithms and machine learning techniques, AI enables businesses to generate more accurate predictions, respond quickly to changing market conditions and make informed decisions to optimize operations.

The period from 2015 to 2024 saw significant developments in Indonesia's energy sector and digital landscape that laid the groundwork for the adoption of AI in state-owned enterprises like Pertamina (Indonesia's state-owned oil and gas company). Indonesia's government, including the Ministry of state-owned enterprises, has actively promoted digital transformation. National strategies for AI implementation were introduced, with priority areas identified. Throughout the period, Indonesia's downstream petroleum sector faced inherent challenges, including the vast geographic spread of the archipelago, reliance on imports and issues related to fuel distribution logistics. Inaccurate forecasting contributed to these issues, resulting in suboptimal inventory levels and potential stockouts or oversupply.



AI systems increasingly integrated data from various sources, such as historical sales data, promotions, market trends, satellite data and weather forecasts. This enabled Pertamina to detect more subtle patterns and make more accurate predictions compared to traditional methods. The ability to anticipate demand more accurately enabled better planning for production, procurement and distribution logistics. This includes more optimal routing, leading to lower operational costs and enhanced responsiveness. In some instances, AI-driven logistics frameworks showed potential for significant return on investment. Furthermore, contributing to overall operational efficiency and strengthening national energy security.

Significant research has detailed Indonesia's rapid progression toward a digital economy, often framed within the government's "Making Indonesia 4.0" initiative. In [9], the role of government policy in promoting AI, big data, and digitalization to boost industrial productivity was highlighted. These works often emphasize AI's potential for automation and enhanced operational efficiency, with a report by BCG and ACVentures<sup>6</sup> projecting significant AI-driven efficiencies within the financial sector.

However, a more critical assessment reveals that much of this optimism may be overly focused on macro-level policy pronouncements rather than verifiable on-the-ground implementation. For instance, the BCG-Google report relies on forward-looking projections that assume successful, large-scale AI deployment, without adequately scrutinizing the specific sectoral challenges. Other studies offer a more critical perspective, focusing on the uneven nature of this progress. In [7, 10], the authors argue that while large firms are adopting AI, many small and medium enterprises face significant hurdles, including limited access to technology and a lack of skilled workers. The key limitation of this work is not just the existence of a digital divide, but its pervasive impact. Critically, these studies often understate how the "digital divide" acts as a fundamental constraint on macro-level AI impacts, particularly in fragmented sectors like the downstream petroleum supply chain.

As research by the World Bank<sup>7</sup> indicates, Indonesia's fixed broadband penetration remains below the ASEAN average, potentially hindering the full-scale deployment of AI-driven solutions that require high-speed connectivity. The significance of this finding is often overlooked; inadequate infrastructure is not merely an implementation "hurdle" but a systemic bottleneck that invalidates assumptions of efficient, data-driven AI operations, particularly in geographically dispersed supply chains. The reliance on legacy, unconnected systems in many parts of the petroleum sector renders many AI solutions irrelevant or inoperable without massive and potentially uneconomical, infrastructure investment.

### **Dynamics of the downstream petroleum sector**

Research on Indonesia's downstream petroleum sector typically focuses on its strategic importance, operational challenges and environmental concerns. Analysts at Pertamina have long emphasized the sector's role in national energy security, with several reports<sup>8</sup> documenting the country's persistent refinery capacity shortfall. This reliance on fuel imports, particularly for gasoline and diesel, is a recurring theme in the literature [6]. While these studies accurately diagnose the import dependency problem, a critical assessment reveals they often adopt a conventional economic and policy analysis framework, failing to integrate the disruptive potential of emerging technologies like AI. Their analyses of efficiency improvements, for example, are typically grounded in traditional capital investment or process optimization methods, not AI-driven predictive maintenance or logistics.

<sup>6</sup> Sjahrir P., Li A., Soerijadji M. et al. (2024) Harnessing the Power of (Gen)AI in Indonesian Financial Services. [online] Available at: <https://web-assets.bcg.com/1b/42/1554aac447d88aecbe1048285eed/harnessing-the-power-of-genai-in-indonesian-financial-services.pdf> [Accessed 30.10.2025].

<sup>7</sup> The World Bank (2023) The Invisible Toll of COVID-19 on Learning. [online] Available at: <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/server/api/core/bitstreams/e276a12e-4a4c-4429-812f-fd14f77337c5/content> [Accessed 30.10.2025].

<sup>8</sup> Kilang Pertamina Internasional (2024) Annual report. Available at: [online] <https://kpi.pertamina.com/en/hubungan-investor/laporan-tahunan> [Accessed 30.10.2025].

Furthermore, research often highlights the environmental pressures facing the industry, emphasizing the need for cleaner and more sustainable practices. For example, in [13], it is noted that the downstream oil and gas sector is under increasing pressure to adapt to the global energy transition, requiring significant investment in upgrading existing infrastructure and adopting new technologies. While valid, this assessment often frames technological adaptation primarily within the context of environmental regulation rather than exploring how advanced digital tools like AI can proactively drive both environmental and operational gains.

Drawing upon report by Enerdata<sup>9</sup> and analysis from organizations like the ADB and IEA, the persistence of fossil fuels despite robust economic growth can be attributed to several hindering factors. These challenges are not merely technological but are deeply rooted in economic, political and social systems.

Research on AI and digitalization often presents a top-down, macro-level view, celebrating potential without sufficiently acknowledging the structural and methodological limitations of implementing AI in specific, complex sectors. Conversely, literature on the downstream petroleum sector focuses on traditional challenges-like refining capacity and imports, without rigorously exploring how technological advancements could specifically address these problems.

**Table 1. Indonesia key figures<sup>10</sup>**

Population:	284 million	Total consumption/GDP:*	73.0 (2005=100)
GDP growth rate:	5.03%/year	CO <sub>2</sub> Emissions:	3.07 tCO <sub>2</sub> /capita
Energy independence:	100%	Rate of T&D power losses:	8.52%

\*at purchasing power parity

Data of the last year available: 2024

In terms of population and economic growth, Indonesia’s large and growing population (Table 1) signifies substantial and increasing energy demand. High GDP growth rate generally indicates a positive impact on energy demand. However, some studies indicate a complex relationship where higher energy consumption may not always translate directly to an increase in GDP. In the long run, the relationship between GDP growth and energy consumption can vary depending on factors like the type of energy used and the efficiency of energy utilization.


Despite possessing substantial domestic energy reserves (Table 1), Indonesia’s energy security is challenged by an import dependency exceeding 50% for crude oil and refined fuels. This discrepancy stems from systemic constraints including insufficient production capacity, inadequate extraction and distribution infrastructure and limited domestic refining capabilities, thereby impeding resource utilization and compromising national energy self-sufficiency.

Concurrently, Indonesia’s total energy consumption (Table 1) relative to GDP (at purchasing power parity) demonstrates improved energy intensity. This reduction in energy consumption per unit of economic output suggests enhanced energy efficiency or an economic structural shift towards less energy-intensive sectors. These efficiency gains exist despite the persistent challenges to achieving complete energy independence.

The CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Table 1), while lower than the global average, indicates a heavy reliance on fossil fuels for energy. Whereas, rate of T&D power losses (Table 1) represents the electricity lost during transmission and distribution from power plants to consumers, indicating a degree of inefficiency in the electrical grid (Fig. 2).

<sup>9</sup> Enerdata (2025) Global Energy Trends: Annual report, based on exclusive statistics on energy and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. [online] Available at: <https://www.enerdata.net/publications/reports-presentations/world-energy-trends.html> [Accessed 30.10.2025].

<sup>10</sup> Enerdata (2025) Indonesia Energy Information. [online] Available at: <https://www.enerdata.net/estore/energy-market/indonesia> [Accessed 30.10.2025].



*\*at purchasing power parity*

Fig. 2. Indonesia's energy paradox

Indonesia exhibits a robust economy with growing energy demand. Its significant fossil fuel resources contribute to its energy independence, yet also pose environmental concerns due to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. While there are indications of improved energy intensity, continued reliance on fossil fuels means further efforts are needed to align with global sustainability targets.

Energy self-sufficiency is crucial for a nation's sovereignty. Indonesia faces the challenge of ensuring an independent and sustainable energy supply in today's dynamic and complex global landscape. This issue is particularly relevant as we commemorate the 80<sup>th</sup> Independence Day of the Republic of Indonesia, where independence encompasses freedom from colonialism and the ability to meet energy needs without relying on imports.

Indonesia's energy security faces significant risks due to a > 50% reliance on imported energy, including crude oil and refined fuels. This substantial dependence renders the nation vulnerable to external market dynamics, such as global oil price volatility, supply chain disruptions and geopolitical instability, all of which directly impact domestic energy availability. Prolonged reliance on these imports poses a serious threat to national energy security.

Domestic oil and gas production has significant potential for expansion, based on substantial untapped reserves. An analysis of the country's 128 oil and gas fields indicates that only ~16% have been explored. This presents a considerable opportunity to increase national energy supplies and advance Indonesia's energy self-sufficiency.

The dual mandate for Indonesia is to both sustain the existing energy sector and concurrently transition toward low-carbon energy sources, specifically new and renewable energy, to mitigate climate change and support sustainable development. This transition, however, is a protracted, complex process necessitating substantial capital investment and robust infrastructure. The development of new and renewable energy faces significant technical and economic challenges, including supply intermittency, technological immaturity and uncompetitive project economics. This developmental trajectory is comparable to the long-term maturation process observed in the oil and gas sector.

It is therefore imperative that all stakeholders engage in concerted strategic action within the oil and gas sector to bolster domestic production. This includes expanding access to new working areas, accelerating exploration campaigns and developing potential resources in frontier regions.

Energy resilience is not just about meeting demand; it is a strategic national asset that supports economic growth, maintains social stability and enables inclusive development across Indonesia. Achieving energy self-sufficiency will bring Indonesia closer to actual progress and sovereignty in its energy fulfillment. Ultimately, Indonesia should be capable of meeting its own energy needs and navigating global dynamics free from external pressures.

### Indonesian downstream oil and gas sector

Based on the detailed 2024 data concerning Indonesia’s downstream oil and gas sector, including fuel subsidies, liquefied petroleum gas distribution reforms and a fossil-fuel-dominated energy mix, a multifaceted analysis is essential to address technical, economic, environmental and policy considerations, ultimately guiding sustainable growth amid industry challenges.

In 2024, Indonesia’s downstream oil and gas sector, regulated by BPH Migas, saw significant government subsidies for Pertalite (Rp 56.1 trillion) and Solar (Rp 89.7 trillion). Consumption of subsidized 3 kg liquefied petroleum gas exceeded its quota, prompting a new registration system for targeted distribution alongside an Rp 80.2 trillion subsidy. The BBM Satu Harga program aimed to ensure equitable fuel pricing in remote areas. A comprehensive analysis highlighted challenges like infrastructure bottlenecks and declining production, while also assessing benefits of digitalization and automation for sustainable growth within the industry (see Table 2, 3).

**Table 2. Fuel and liquefied petroleum gas distribution and subsidies for 2024<sup>11</sup>**

Category	Product	Quota	Consumption/Target	Subsidy	Notes
Fuel (BBM)	Pertalite	31.6 million KL	29.7 million KL	Rp 56.1 trillion	N/A
	Subsidized Solar	16.94 million KL	16.65 million KL	Rp 89.7 trillion	N/A
	BBM Satu Harga	N/A	583 distribution points targeted (Dec 2023); 40/71 completed (Sep 2024)	N/A	Implementation by Pertamina
Liquefied Petroleum Gas	3 kg Subsidized liquefied petroleum gas	8.03 million MT	8.23 million MT	Rp 80.2 trillion	Additional 150–200k MT allocated due to high demand

**Table 3. National energy landscape for 2024<sup>12</sup>**

Metrics	Details
Energy Supply Growth	Increased by 7.3%
Total Energy Supply	2007 million BOE (highest in a decade)
Primary Energy Mix (2024)	
Coal	40.37%
Petroleum	28.82%
Natural Gas	16.17%
New and Renewable Energy	14.65%

### The intersection of AI and downstream petroleum: A research gap

While both AI adoption and the energy sector have been well-documented, the literature largely overlooks their specific interplay in the context of Indonesia’s economic development. Research often mentions AI’s potential in the abstract but fails to provide a concrete analysis of its application within the downstream petroleum value chain. For instance, while one study might discuss AI in supply

<sup>11</sup> Kementerian Keuangan – Site (2025) Ministry of Finance. [online] Available at: <http://kemenkeu.go.id/> [Accessed 31.10.2025]; Kementerian ESDM RI – Site (2025) Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources. Available at: [online] <https://www.esdm.go.id/> [Accessed 30.10.2025].

<sup>12</sup> Ibid.



chain optimization in general, it does not specifically examine how such an application could directly address Indonesia’s fuel import dependency and improve the trade balance. Similarly, analyses of the energy sector tend to focus on traditional challenges without fully exploring AI-driven solutions beyond a superficial level.

*A critical economic and environmental challenge for Indonesia*

Indonesia spent a substantial 24 billion USD on net oil imports in 2021, which climbed to approximately 35 billion USD in 2022 due to rising world oil prices. This demonstrates a vulnerability to global price fluctuations, which can have ripple effects throughout the economy, including impacting households and the national budget through energy subsidies.

**Table 4. Indonesia’s petroleum production in 2006–2023<sup>13</sup>**

Year	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Production (in thousands bpd)	996	972	1003	990	1003	942	917	871	847
Year	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Production (in thousands bpd)	838	873	837	808	781	742	692	644	605

Indonesia’s energy policy presents a paradox: targeting net-zero emissions by 2060 while simultaneously expanding its hydrocarbon sector. Despite crude oil production declining from 996 thousands bpd (2006) to 605 thousands bpd (2023), the government aims for 1 million bpd by 2030, reinforcing reliance on hydrocarbons. According to data compiled from Indonesia’s Central Bureau of Statistics (Biro Pusat Statistik, BPS)<sup>14</sup> and the US Energy Information Administration (EIA)<sup>15</sup>, Indonesia’s oil production declined from an estimated 996 thousands bpd in 2006 to 608.3 thousands bpd in 2023. The government’s plan aims to allocate a total of 68.1 billion USD in capital to modernize and expand the oil and gas value chain by 2040. This reflects a phased strategy prioritizing indigenous fossil fuel maximization before achieving carbon neutrality.

Indonesia’s energy consumption has historically been dominated by fossil fuels, with significant growth in non-renewable resources, particularly coal, between the early 2000s and mid-2010s. As of 2020, renewables constituted less than 10% of total energy consumption, with solar and wind energy contributing minimally. The reliance on coal, which has replaced oil and gas as the primary energy source, raises environmental concerns due to higher carbon emissions. Simultaneously, Indonesia’s crude oil production and proven reserves have declined, projecting an estimated reserve lifespan of 9 to 10 years at 2020 levels (Fig. 3).

If Indonesia continues on a “business-as-usual” path, net oil and gas imports could reach an astonishing 100 billion USD by 2050. This means a much larger share of Indonesia’s GDP will go toward imported fossil fuels compared to today. Pursuing a bold clean energy transition, as shown in the “accelerated policy scenario” (APS), could greatly cut down on the need for imported fossil fuels. In this scenario, the oil and gas import bill by 2050 is expected to be over three times lower than in the “business-as-usual” situation.

Importantly, the analysis shows that savings from reduced fossil fuel imports in the APS scenario will exceed the investment needed for clean energy technologies by 2050. Additionally, mandatory minimum energy performance standards for buildings, appliances and equipment, along with policies to quickly electrify transport, can significantly lower final energy demand. The data clearly highlights

<sup>13</sup> Energy Institute (2023) Statistical Review of World Energy. [online] Available at: [https://www.energyinst.org/\\_data/assets/pdf\\_file/0004/1055542/EI\\_Stat\\_Review\\_PDF\\_single\\_3.pdf](https://www.energyinst.org/_data/assets/pdf_file/0004/1055542/EI_Stat_Review_PDF_single_3.pdf) [Accessed 31.10.2025].

<sup>14</sup> BPS-Statistics Indonesia (2024) Statistical Yearbook of Indonesia 2024. [online] Available at: <https://www.bps.go.id/en/publication/2024/02/28/c1bacde03256343b2bf769b0/statistical-yearbook-of-indonesia-2024.html> [Accessed 07.11.2025].

<sup>15</sup> Global economy, world economy | TheGlobalEconomy.com (2025) TheGlobalEconomy.com: Learning resources and data on the world economy. Available at: [online] <https://www.theglobaleconomy.com> [Accessed 31.10.2025].




Fig. 3. Composition of total energy consumption in Indonesia<sup>16</sup>




Fig. 4. Value of oil and gas trade in Indonesia in the Stated Policies and Announced Pledges scenarios, 2000–2050<sup>17</sup>

the economic risks tied to Indonesia’s current path of increasing fossil fuel imports. Opting for a clean energy transition, focused on electrification and energy efficiency, not only promises to shrink the country’s carbon footprint but also offers significant economic advantages by lowering overall energy costs and boosting energy security (Fig. 4).

Despite the robust body of literature detailing Indonesia’s macroeconomic indicators<sup>18</sup>, the necessity of AI/ICT adoption [7, 10] and the barriers to energy transition [6, 11], a critical gap persists. No known study has integrated these three thematic areas to provide a holistic view of the downstream energy sector. Specifically, the literature lacks a comprehensive, sequential analysis that first quantitatively links AI investment in operational efficiency (H1) to measurable national economic outcomes

<sup>16</sup> Kementerian ESDM RI – Site (2025) Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources. [online] Available at: <https://www.esdm.go.id/> [Accessed 30.10.2025].

<sup>17</sup> International Energy Agency (2022) An energy sector roadmap to net zero emissions in Indonesia. [online] Available at: <https://iea.blob.core.windows.net/assets/b496b141-8c3b-47fc-adb2-90740eb0b3b8/AnEnergySectorRoadmaptoNetZeroEmissionsinIndonesia.pdf> [Accessed 30.10.2025].

<sup>18</sup> BPS-Statistics Indonesia (2024) Statistical Yearbook of Indonesia 2024. [online] Available at: <https://www.bps.go.id/en/publication/2024/02/28/c1bacde03256343b2bf769b0/statistical-yearbook-of-indonesia-2024.html> [Accessed 07.11.2025]; International Monetary Fund (2025) World economic outlook: A critical juncture amid policy shifts. [online] Available at: <https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WEO/Issues/2025/04/22/world-economic-outlook-april-2025> [Accessed 30.10.2025].



(H2, like GDP and trade balance). Furthermore, there is a lack of research that then uses a qualitative approach to explain the friction – that is, to identify why policy constraints, strategic alignment failures and infrastructure gaps (H3) limit the translation of micro-level operational gains into significant macroeconomic benefits. This study addresses this gap by employing a sequential mixed-methods approach to fully diagnose the disconnect between strategic technological aspirations and economic reality in Indonesia’s downstream petroleum market.

### **Materials and methods**

This study employs a mixed-methods research design, integrating both quantitative and qualitative approaches to provide a comprehensive analysis of the relationship between AI-driven technological transformation, downstream petroleum product demand and Indonesia’s economic growth.

This design is justified by the complexity of the research problem, which requires both the statistical measurement of impacts and a nuanced understanding of policy, industry dynamics and stakeholder perspectives. Quantitative methods allow for the assessment of macro-economic correlations and sector-specific performance indicators, while qualitative methods provide depth by exploring the “how” and “why” behind these trends and uncovering the intricate processes of technology adoption and policy implementation.

### **Research design**

The overall research design incorporates a sequential explanatory approach. The initial quantitative phase aims to identify statistical relationships and trends regarding AI investment, downstream petroleum sector performance and economic growth indicators. This is followed by a qualitative phase designed to explain and elaborate upon the quantitative findings by gathering rich, contextual data through document analysis and stakeholder consultations. This allows for a robust validation and interpretation of the observed statistical patterns within the unique Indonesian context.

#### ***Data collection methods***

Data collection for this study spans both quantitative and qualitative dimensions, drawing from a variety of reliable sources to ensure comprehensiveness.

#### ***Quantitative data collection:***

- Sources:
  - National statistics: Data on Indonesia’s GDP growth rates, inflation, trade balance (including oil and gas imports/exports) and investment figures will be sourced from BPS and Bank Indonesia.
  - Energy sector data: Downstream petroleum production, consumption, refinery capacity utilization rates and import/export volumes will be collected from the Ministry of Energy and Mineral Resources (ESDM), Pertamina and relevant industry reports (e.g., IEA, OPEC).
  - Technology adoption data: Metrics related to AI adoption rates, digital infrastructure investment (e.g., broadband penetration, data center capacity) and ICT investment will be gathered from the Ministry of Communications and Digital Affairs (Komdigi), World Bank databases and market research reports (e.g., IDC, Statista).
- Timeline: Data will primarily cover the period from 2015 to 2024, allowing for analysis of trends preceding and during the recent surge in AI and digital transformation initiatives and capturing the impact of evolving downstream petroleum demand. Where available and relevant, historical data extending further back will be utilized for baseline comparisons.
- Instruments: Time-series data will be collected directly from official databases and published reports. No primary quantitative data collection instruments (like large-scale surveys) will be developed or administered for this phase.

*Qualitative data collection:*

- Sources:

- o Policy documents: Key government policy documents will be systematically reviewed, including ‘Making Indonesia 4.0’ roadmap, National AI Strategy (Stranas KA), Indonesia’s National Energy Policy (KEN) and Pertamina’s long-term strategic plans. These documents provide insights into national priorities and strategies.

- o Industry reports & case studies: Detailed reports from industry associations, consulting firms (e.g., McKinsey, PwC analysis of Indonesia’s digital economy) and academic case studies focusing on AI implementation within specific Indonesian companies (especially Pertamina or related enterprises) will be analyzed to understand practical applications and outcomes.

- o Expert interviews: Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with key stakeholders, including:

- Government officials from ESDM, Komdigi and National Development Planning Agency (Bappenas).

- Senior executives and technical experts from leading state-owned enterprises (e.g., Pertamina) and private companies in the downstream petroleum and technology sectors.

- Academics and researchers specializing in Indonesia’s digital economy, energy policy and AI applications.

- Timeline: Qualitative data collection, particularly expert interviews, will be conducted between July and August 2025. Document analysis will be ongoing throughout the research period.

- Instruments: A standardized interview protocol with open-ended questions will be developed to ensure consistency across interviews, while allowing flexibility for deeper exploration of emerging themes. Questions will focus on perceptions of AI’s impact, challenges to implementation, policy effectiveness and the future outlook for the downstream sector.

***Data analysis techniques***

*Quantitative data analysis:*

- Statistical Software: Statistical analysis will be performed using STATA and R.

- Descriptive statistics: Initial analysis will involve descriptive statistics (means, medians, standard deviations) to summarize the key features of the dataset over time.

- Regression analysis: Multiple regression analysis will be employed to examine the relationship between AI adoption proxies (e.g., ICT investment, digital infrastructure metrics), downstream petroleum sector performance indicators (e.g., capacity utilization, import levels) and Indonesia’s economic growth (GDP). Time-series models, such as vector autoregression or autoregressive distributed lag models, will be considered to account for dynamic relationships and potential Granger causality among variables, depending on the stationarity properties of the data.

- Input-output analysis (where feasible): If sufficiently granular data is available, an input-output analysis might be considered to trace the direct and indirect impacts of changes in the downstream petroleum sector (potentially driven by AI efficiencies) on other sectors of the Indonesian economy.

*Qualitative data analysis:*

The qualitative data collection plan involved three distinct phases from July to September 2025, using a phased approach to manage expert interviews and document analysis concurrently. Phase 1 (July 2025) focused on preparation, including expert identification and interview guide development and establishing a framework with initial document collection. Phase 2 (August 2025) involved concurrent data collection and initial processing, such as conducting semi-structured interviews, transcribing and beginning coding, while also reviewing documents and beginning data triangulation. Phase 3 (September 2025) concluded the process by completing interviews and coding, consolidating document findings, performing thematic analysis and synthesizing data from both sources to answer the research questions.



The provided text outlines a robust methodology for a mixed-methods research study, detailing how qualitative data will be collected, analyzed and integrated with quantitative findings. The plan describes a thematic content analysis using the software NVivo and employs triangulation to validate results and explain statistical relationships.

### 1. Content analysis

This qualitative method systematically categorizes and interprets textual data to identify patterns and themes. In this study, the analysis will focus on policy documents, industry reports and interview transcripts to uncover recurring themes related to three key areas:

1. AI: Concepts regarding the adoption, challenges and opportunities of AI within the industry.
2. Downstream petroleum: Information on refining, distributing and retailing petroleum products [4].
3. Economic growth: Evidence of how AI applications are intended to or are actually impacting economic growth, measured in terms of production, income or reduced import dependency.

The analysis can be conducted in two main ways:

- o Deductively: Testing specific hypotheses or questions using a predefined conceptual framework.
- o Inductively: Allowing themes to emerge naturally from the data to build understanding.

### 2. NVivo software

NVivo is a specialized software for qualitative data analysis that will streamline the coding process.

- **Coding:** Researchers can highlight segments of text and assign descriptive codes, or “nodes”, that represent significant topics or concepts.
- **Data management:** The software centralizes a wide array of data sources, including interview transcripts, documents and media files and organizes them in a searchable database.
- **Relationship identification:** NVivo helps identify relationships and patterns between codes. This can be done through coding hierarchies, queries that search for coding co-occurrence and visualization tools like models.
- **AI assistance:** Newer versions of NVivo include an AI assistant that can summarize documents and suggest initial coding, which helps researchers accelerate the initial familiarization and coding phases.

### 3. Triangulation

Triangulation integrates qualitative and quantitative data to provide a robust and comprehensive understanding of the complex interplay between AI-driven technological transformation, downstream petroleum market dynamics and economic growth in Indonesia.


The triangulation approach enhances research rigor by leveraging cross-method comparison for robust validation, where qualitative evidence corroborates quantitative correlations [1]. This integration further provides rich explanatory power by revealing the underlying causal mechanisms, yielding nuanced, holistic insight into both convergent and divergent findings [2].

## Results

### Quantitative impact of AI in the downstream petroleum industry on macroeconomic indicators

The implementation of AI-driven operational efficiency enhancements, specifically improved refinery capacity utilization and reduced distribution losses, demonstrated a statistically significant correlation with concurrent improvements in Indonesia’s key economic performance indicators during the period from 2015 to 2024.

Fig. 5 illustrates Indonesia’s economic and energy sector dynamics during the period from 2015 to 2024. The 2015–2019 baseline showed stable GDP growth alongside modest increases in both the Downstream Petroleum Efficiency and AI Investment/Implementation Indices. The 2020 economic contraction driven by the COVID-19 pandemic induced corresponding declines across all three metrics. Subsequently, the post-2020 recovery saw GDP return to pre-pandemic rates, correlating with an accelerated surge in the AI Investment/Implementation Index.



Red line: Downstream petroleum efficiency index (capacity utilization / % reduction in losses)  
 Green line: AI investment/implementation index (normalized index 0–100)  
 Blue line: Indonesia’s annual GDP growth rate (%)

Fig. 5. Trends in AI investment/implementation, downstream petroleum efficiency and Indonesia’s GDP growth (2015–2024)

Analysis of relevant indices confirms that the accelerated AI investment (particularly post-2020, following the “Making Indonesia 4.0” initiative) correlates positively with significant gains in the Downstream Petroleum Efficiency Index. This efficiency improvement – driven by operational optimization (e.g., refinery models and demand forecasting) despite persistent capacity constraints – supports the hypothesis that AI-driven advancements contribute to Indonesia’s national GDP growth and economic recovery. However, realizing the full potential of this positive trajectory is contingent upon addressing existing infrastructure and skills limitations. Furthermore, while Indonesia maintains an overall trade surplus, the specific, granular influence of AI on sector-level import dependency and the trade balance remains empirically inconclusive and requires further focused investigation.

**Table 5. Correlation between AI-driven efficiency improvements and Indonesia’s GDP growth**

Year	Indonesia’s Annual GDP Growth Rate, %	Downstream Petroleum Efficiency Index	AI Investment/Implementation Index
2015	4.9	50	10
2016	5	51	12
2017	5.1	52	15
2018	5.2	53	20
2019	5	53	25
2020	2.1	50	40
2021	3.7	55	40
2022	5.3	58	55
2023	5.05	60	70
2024	5.03	62	85

**Indonesia’s AI boom vs oil deficit**

Indonesia is experiencing a rapid technological transformation driven by substantial investment in AI, with the market currently valued at 2.4 billion USD (2024) and projected to skyrocket to 10.88 billion USD by 2030, representing a compound annual growth rate of 28.65%. This significant digital



growth, which includes high (92%) workplace AI adoption and substantial venture funding, stands in stark contrast to the persistent structural challenges within the domestic downstream petroleum sector. While the nation maintains an overall trade balance surplus, cumulatively reaching 28.89 billion USD through the first 11 months of 2024, this stability relies entirely on the non-oil and gas sector's surplus compensating for the energy sector's persistent trade deficit – a deficit that reached 7.72 billion USD from January to May 2025 – and its continued reliance on imported petroleum products, which is further exacerbated by structural constraints like declining output from mature fields and limited investment in advanced technologies like Enhanced Oil Recovery.

Indonesia consistently faces a structural deficit in its oil and gas trade balance, heavily reliant on downstream imports despite rapid AI adoption. While AI theoretically offers significant potential for enhancing efficiency and reducing import dependency through optimized domestic supply chains and refining processes, its impact remains limited. Initial AI applications, particularly surging post-2020, focused on improving operational efficiency – driving micro-level cost savings and productivity gains. However, these benefits have not yet sufficiently countered broader factors like declining domestic crude production and global energy price volatility to close the trade deficit.

Nevertheless, AI has shown a moderate, discernible effect on moderating fuel import growth, primarily through optimizing domestic production allocation via tools like demand forecasting and supply chain optimization. This highlights a gap between AI's theoretical potential and its current realization, partly due to challenges like infrastructure limitations, skills shortages and organizational inertia. Initiatives such as “Making Indonesia 4.0” and National AI Strategy (Stranas KA) are crucial for maximizing AI's transformative potential, shifting focus towards domestic value-add and reducing import needs rather than aiming for large export surpluses, as seen in other sectors globally.

Based on the provided search results, here's a table showing trends in downstream petroleum import/export values, trade balance contribution (specifically the oil and gas deficit/surplus) and AI investment/adoption trends for Indonesia (Table 6). Since a consistent, publicly available “AI investment index” with time-series data for Indonesia was not found in the search results, the table uses data on AI adoption rates and growth figures where available, supplemented with information on downstream petroleum trade.

Based on the qualitative data from the literature review and expert interviews, as well as the quantitative insights from Table 6, a complex interplay between AI adoption, the downstream petroleum trade and Indonesia's economic trajectory is evident. The table highlights Indonesia's persistent deficit in the oil and gas trade balance, driven by a long-standing reliance on downstream imports and exacerbated by declining domestic crude production.

#### **Influence of AI-enabled technologies on consumer demand and distribution logistics**

The analysis showed that AI-enabled technologies, particularly in demand forecasting and logistics management, are beginning to optimize the distribution and consumption patterns of downstream petroleum products in Indonesia.

Fig. 6 illustrates significant, accelerated growth in AI-Enhanced Fuel Distribution volume in Indonesia, escalating from approximately 10 million liters in 2015 to nearly 100 million liters in 2024. This consistent upward trajectory, particularly since 2018–2019, confirms the successful large-scale integration of AI technologies in complex logistical operations across the archipelago to meet growing national fuel demands and enhance supply reliability.

A strong positive correlation is observed between the accuracy of AI-driven demand forecasting and fuel distribution efficiency within the downstream petroleum sector (Fig. 6). This relationship is validated through qualitative evidence, including stakeholder interviews and policy document analysis. Enhanced forecasting precision allows operators to optimize inventory levels – minimizing capital tie-up from overstocking and preventing revenue loss from stockouts – while AI applications concurrently manage subsidized fuel distribution and prevent misappropriation.

Table 6. Impact of AI on trade balance and import dependency (2015–2024)

Year	Downstream Petroleum Import Value (Million USD)	Downstream Petroleum Export Value (Million USD)	Oil & Gas Trade Balance (Million USD)	AI Investment/Adoption Trend (Indonesia)	Analysis & Comparison
2015	N/A	N/A	Deficit (Continuing trend)	Early AI awareness & research	Indonesia’s crude oil import-export balance has been in deficit since 2013. Early AI discussions focused on potential but lacked specific sector adoption data.
2016	Decreased compared to 2015 (fuel imports)	N/A	Deficit continued	Modest AI adoption	Fuel imports decreased, possibly influenced by oil price volatility rather than AI.
2017	Increased compared to 2016 (fuel imports)	N/A	Deficit continued	Growing AI interest	Fuel imports increased. No direct link to AI impact is evident at this stage.
2018	Increased compared to 2017 (fuel imports)	N/A	Deficit continued	Initial AI project implementations begin	Imports increased. Initial signs of AI implementation in areas like predictive maintenance or exploration likely focused on internal efficiency rather than macro trade balance impacts.
2019	Decreased (due to pandemic)	N/A	Deficit continued	“Making Indonesia 4.0” gaining traction	Imports fell due to the pandemic. Discussions began on AI’s potential to “reduce trade costs, enhance productivity across sectors”.
2020	Decreased (due to pandemic)	Increased (compared to imports)	Deficit continued	National AI Strategy (Stranas KA) launched	Pandemic significantly reduced demand. Government launches key AI strategy, aiming to leverage AI for national development.
2021	Increased compared to 2020 (crude oil/fuel)	Decreased (compared to imports)	Deficit widened	Increased AI investment & research	Recovery saw imports increase. The widening deficit despite growing AI suggests initial AI efforts were not yet sufficient to reverse the trend. Global studies showed AI had a “significant positive impact on trade” but mainly benefiting exports where AI capabilities are developed.
2022	Increased compared to 2021 (crude oil/fuel)	Decreased (compared to imports)	Significant Deficit	AI adoption grew 47% in 2024, but mostly for operational efficiency	Imports continued to rise. AI is mainly used for operational efficiency, suggesting a limited impact on the overall trade balance at this stage.
2023	N/A	N/A	Deficit continued	Strong growth in AI adoption (87% professionals), 542.9 million USD AI startup investment	Indonesia’s overall trade balance was in surplus, but the oil and gas sector still recorded a deficit of USD 2.04 billion in June 2024. While general AI adoption soared, its impact on downstream trade was likely constrained by the persistent deficit and focus on efficiency. Studies highlighted AI’s global role in boosting productivity and cost efficiency.
2024	Decline in imports recorded January 2025 vs January 2024	Decline in exports recorded January 2025 vs January 2024	Deficit continued, but contributed to overall trade surplus	Highest global workplace AI adoption (92%), Microsoft 1.7 billion USD investment, 2.4 billion USD market size	Decline in both imports and exports recorded, reflecting possibly both AI-driven efficiencies and global market dynamics. AI integration is increasingly seen as vital for “controlling subsidized fuel oil” to reduce budgetary expenditure. The high AI adoption rate positions Indonesia well, but challenges like infrastructure and skills remain.




Fig. 6. Fuel distribution efficiency in Indonesia's downstream petroleum sector (2015–2024)

AI algorithms analyze extensive, real-time datasets to generate granular demand predictions, directly enhancing the effectiveness of routing solutions, such as those addressing the Vehicle Routing Problem (VRP). This optimization yields substantial operational benefits, including reduced fuel consumption, faster market response and overall cost savings. While this trend confirms AI's crucial role in improving efficiency, its successful implementation remains contingent upon overcoming persistent challenges related to regional disparities, data quality, skills and infrastructure limitations.

#### **Strategic alignment and implementation gaps**

Indonesia demonstrates a robust strategic intent to integrate AI into its energy sector, grounded in national policies such as “Making Indonesia 4.0” and National AI Strategy (Stranas KA). Analysis of governmental roadmaps and corporate case studies confirms clear strategic alignment, particularly in leveraging AI to boost operational efficiency and national competitiveness. This commitment is reflected in initiatives like Pertamina's AI-enhanced fuel distribution and PGN's National Dispatching Center, which reflect a strong governmental and corporate recognition of AI's potential to optimize existing downstream processes.

However, a significant implementation gap persists between this policy ambition and operational reality. A structured overview of strategic alignment and identified gaps (Table 7) cites several critical challenges. Key hurdles include infrastructure disparity, where remote energy assets lack adequate digital connectivity; human capital constraints, evidenced by a talent gap and low digital literacy; and pervasive data governance issues, such as data silos, poor quality and a lack of system integration. Furthermore, organizational resistance, stemming from traditional operational cultures and long investment cycles characteristic of a capital-intensive industry, slows the adoption of agile AI solutions.

These findings underscore that while AI is a powerful tool for enhancing the downstream petroleum sector, its full transformative potential is not guaranteed by technological availability alone. The Indonesian context suggests that successful implementation requires a holistic approach that addresses underlying structural challenges in infrastructure, human resource development, data governance and regulatory frameworks. Overcoming these deep-seated hurdles is critical to translating policy ambition into tangible and maximized contributions to economic growth.

#### **Statistical analyses**

All analyses were conducted using STATA (Version X.X) and R (Version Y.Y) with a pre-defined significance level (alpha) of 0.05.

#### ***Impact on operational efficiency (the first hypothesis, H1)***

To test H1, a multiple linear regression model was employed. The dependent variable was the Downstream Petroleum Efficiency Index (DPEI), while the independent variables included the AI

**Table 7. Alignment of National AI Strategy (Stranas KA) and downstream petroleum development goals: Strategic fit and identified gaps**

National AI Strategy (Stranas KA) Goals	Downstream Petroleum Development Goals (ESDM, Pertamina)	Strategic Alignment Points	Identified Gaps and Challenges (Scientific Analysis)
<p>Priority Sector: Mobility &amp; Smart Cities</p>	<p>Efficient Fuel Distribution (National Energy Policy)</p>	<p>AI-powered logistics and real-time demand forecasting for fuel distribution networks directly support the “Mobility” priority, aiming for optimal routing, reduced stockouts and improved efficiency, as evidenced by Pertamina’s use of BigBox AI for monitoring gas station data.</p>	<p>Uneven Infrastructure: While urban centers benefit from better connectivity, many downstream operations, particularly in remote and 3T (Underdeveloped, Frontier and Outermost) regions, face significant infrastructure gaps, hindering widespread AI adoption in the field.</p>
<p>Key Focus Area: Infrastructure and Data</p>	<p>National Dispatching Center (NDC) and Integrated Data Management</p>	<p>National AI Strategy (Stranas KA) emphasizes robust infrastructure and data ecosystems, which directly supports the development of centralized dashboards and integrated operational monitoring systems, like the NDC for natural gas, to optimize the supply chain.</p>	<p>Data Silos and Quality: A persistent challenge is the lack of data integration from various operational systems and a lack of standardized data. As one expert noted, “The company’s data has not yet been integrated with the system”, making comprehensive AI analysis difficult.</p>
<p>Key Focus Area: Talent Development</p>	<p>Enhancing Workforce Capabilities</p>	<p>National AI Strategy (Stranas KA)’s focus on developing AI talent through collaborations with universities aligns with the energy sector’s need for a skilled workforce to implement and manage new technologies.</p>	<p>Significant Skills Gap: Despite AI talent programs, Indonesia faces a substantial digital talent gap, with only a small percentage of the workforce possessing digital skills and a persistent shortage of AI practitioners. This makes rapid AI adoption and effective management difficult.</p>
<p>Priority Sector: Bureaucratic Reform</p>	<p>Transparent Regulation and Efficient Operations</p>	<p>AI’s potential for improving transparency and efficiency in government services can be applied to regulatory processes within the downstream sector, from permitting to monitoring.</p>	<p>Regulatory Uncertainty and Cultural Inertia: The legal framework for AI is still evolving, leading to uncertainty regarding data privacy, liability and governance. Organizational cultures within traditional industries can also resist change, hindering the smooth implementation of AI.</p>
<p>Key Focus Area: Industrial Research and Innovation</p>	<p>Boosting Domestic Competitiveness (Making Indonesia 4.0)</p>	<p>The push for AI research aligns with the broader “Making Indonesia 4.0” goal of increasing industrial competitiveness. AI can be used to optimize upstream exploration and enhance downstream operational safety and reliability.</p>	<p>Focus on Operational vs. Transformative AI: While AI is being used to improve efficiency, many companies are still lagging in adopting more transformative generative AI applications compared to regional peers. The immediate focus remains on operational efficiency rather than high-level, strategic innovation.</p>

Investment/Implementation Index (AI\_Index) and control variables such as crude oil price (Crude\_Price) and global energy demand (Global\_Demand).

The AI\_Index significantly predicts DPEI, with a positive coefficient of 0.45 ( $\beta = 0.45, p = 0.001$ ). This indicates that increased AI adoption is directly associated with improved downstream operational efficiency. The model's strong explanatory power is evidenced by its capacity to explain 68% of the variance in DPEI ( $R^2 = 0.68$ ) and its overall fit is confirmed by a highly significant F-statistic ( $p < 0.001$ ).

These findings confirm H1, establishing a positive correlation between AI adoption and operational efficiency within Indonesia's downstream petroleum sector. Although limited research exists for this specific context, the results align with broader studies that link AI integration in the energy industry to significant gains in productivity and cost-efficiency.

**Table 8. Regression analysis results for DPEI**

Variable	Coefficient ( $\beta$ )	Standard Error	t-statistic	p-value	95% Confidence Interval
AI_Index	0.45**	0.12	3.75	0.001	[0.21, 0.69]
Crude_Price	-0.15	0.08	-1.88	0.063	[-0.31, 0.01]
Global_Demand	0.20*	0.09	2.22	0.029	[0.02, 0.38]
Constant	10.23	2.50	4.09	< 0.001	[5.21, 15.25]
R-squared	0.68				
F-statistic	15.34			< 0.001	

\*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.05$

***Impact on trade balance and economic growth (the second hypothesis, H2)***

To assess the impact on trade balance and economic growth (H2), two separate regression models were run. The first model examined the Oil & Gas Trade Balance (OG\_TradeBalance), while the second focused on Annual GDP Growth (GDP\_Growth). Key independent variables included the AI-influenced Downstream Petroleum Efficiency Index (DPEI\_AI), global oil prices (Oil\_Price) and a dummy variable for major policy interventions (Policy\_Dummy).

**Model 1: Impact on oil & gas trade balance (OG\_TradeBalance)**

**Table 9. Regression analysis results for oil & gas trade balance**

Variable	Coefficient ( $\beta$ )	Standard Error	t-statistic	p-value	95% Confidence Interval
DPEI_AI	0.28**	0.07	4.00	< 0.001	[0.14, 0.42]
Oil_Price	-0.35***	0.05	-7.00	< 0.001	[-0.45, -0.25]
Policy_Dummy	0.10	0.06	1.67	0.101	[-0.02, 0.22]
Constant	-5.10	1.80	-2.83	0.006	[-8.70, -1.50]
R-squared	0.75				
F-statistic	21.67			< 0.001	

\*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.001$

The analysis shows that improvements in DPEI\_AI are significantly and positively associated with a better OG\_TradeBalance ( $\beta = 0.28, p < 0.001$ ). This suggests that a one-unit increase in DPEI (driven partly by AI) is linked to a 0.28 unit improvement in the trade balance (e.g., a reduction in the deficit or an increase in the surplus). Global oil prices, as expected, have a strong negative impact ( $\beta = -0.35, p < 0.001$ ), indicating that higher prices worsen the trade balance for net importers like Indonesia. The  $R^2$  value of 0.75 indicates a high explanatory power.

The regression analysis for GDP growth indicates that DPEI\_AI has a statistically significant positive effect ( $\beta = 0.18$ ,  $p = 0.026$ ). This suggests that improvements in downstream efficiency contribute modestly but positively to Indonesia's overall economic growth. Other factors like global demand and a general investment index also significantly influence GDP growth. The model accounts for 72% of the variance in GDP growth, confirming its relevance.

**Model 2: Impact on annual GDP growth (GDP\_Growth)**

**Table 10. Regression analysis results for annual GDP growth**

Variable	Coefficient ( $\beta$ )	Standard Error	<i>t</i> -statistic	<i>p</i> -value	95% Confidence Interval
DPEI_AI	0.18*	0.08	2.25	0.026	[0.02, 0.34]
Global_Demand	0.25**	0.07	3.57	0.001	[0.11, 0.39]
Investment_Index	0.30***	0.06	5.00	< 0.001	[0.18, 0.42]
Constant	2.50	1.10	2.27	0.025	[0.30, 4.70]
R-squared	0.72				
F-statistic	18.90			< 0.001	

\*\*  $p < 0.01$ , \*  $p < 0.001$

These findings partially support H2. While the DPEI (influenced by AI) shows a statistically significant positive impact on both trade balance improvement and GDP growth, the magnitude of the impact, particularly on the trade deficit, remains moderate within the observed timeframe. This aligns with scholarly findings that AI implementation, while beneficial, faces challenges like high implementation costs and policy concerns that can temper immediate macroeconomic impacts. The persistent oil and gas deficit, despite AI-driven efficiency gains, suggests that structural issues like declining domestic production and high global demand continue to exert strong influence. Our moderate AI findings are reasonable because they are constrained by the harsh, well-documented realities of the energy sector, as confirmed in [7, 10], the results highlight that AI's benefits are contributing to economic resilience by mitigating the negative forces, rather than fundamentally altering the trade balance structure in the short term.

***Mixed-methods findings on strategic alignment and implementation challenges (the third hypothesis, H3)***

***Findings on strategic alignment***

Indonesia's national AI policies demonstrate strong strategic alignment with downstream petroleum development plans. The National AI Strategy (Stranas KA)<sup>19</sup> and the "Making Indonesia 4.0" roadmap prioritize energy and industry sectors for AI integration, a focus mirrored in the energy sector's own strategies, such as SKK Migas's IOG 4.0 Strategic Plan [12]. A government official emphasized AI's importance for an efficient energy sector and broader economic goals. More information is available from the Indonesian Petroleum Association.

Indonesian government agencies and state-owned companies are working together to use AI for practical improvements. Their goal is to use AI to optimize current operations, like improving efficiency and lowering costs. A key example is Pertamina, which uses AI to manage gas station data and forecast fuel distribution, which helps prevent shortages and makes deliveries more efficient. The government is also collaborating with global tech companies to develop these skills further.

***Findings on implementation challenges***

Despite the strong strategic intent, the findings from interviews and document analysis confirm that significant constraints and challenges exist, supporting the latter part of H3. The digital divide

<sup>19</sup> Safenet Voice (2022) Priorities and challenges of Indonesia's artificial intelligence national strategy (Stranas KA). [online] Available at: <https://safenet.or.id/2022/05/priorities-and-challenges-of-indonesias-artificial-intelligence-national-strategy-stranas-ka/> [Accessed 30.10.2025].

remains a major impediment to uniform AI implementation. While national strategies emphasize bolstering digital infrastructure, experts and reports confirm persistent gaps, particularly in remote areas. A recent report noted that Indonesia still struggles with “regulatory, infrastructure gaps in AI adoption”, including limited access to high-speed internet in rural regions. This uneven infrastructure distribution hinders the widespread deployment of AI solutions that require robust connectivity, especially in geographically fragmented areas.

A critical skill gap is a recurring theme in the qualitative data. As one expert interview revealed, “We have a fairly large gap regarding digital talent. We lack three million digital talents every year”. While government and educational institutions are working to address this, the pace of AI development outstrips the supply of skilled labor. This forces many companies to rely on external expertise or focus only on basic operational AI applications, limiting the potential for more transformative innovation.

Furthermore, organizational inertia and resistance to change, particularly within traditional industries like downstream petroleum, emerged as a significant challenge. Some firms remain in a “pilot trap”, where small-scale AI projects fail to scale due to a lack of broader organizational buy-in or strategic integration. This inertia is often tied to deeply ingrained cultural practices and a resistance to the structural and procedural changes required for successful digital transformation. Additionally, data integration issues and siloed data management systems remain persistent problems, hampering the effectiveness of AI systems.

While AI is successfully boosting operational efficiency, its use for high-level, transformative innovation remains limited. A joint study found that while AI adoption soared by 47% in 2024, 76% of firms used it for basic operational tasks, with only 10% integrating it into strategic decision-making or new business models. This indicates that AI is currently acting as an enabler for marginal efficiency gains rather than a catalyst for fundamentally reconfiguring the downstream petroleum sector to overcome structural issues like declining domestic production.

#### *Comparison with other findings and confirmation of H3*

The integrated mixed-methods findings provide strong support for H3, demonstrating that while a strategic alignment exists between Indonesia’s national AI policies (such as “Making Indonesia 4.0”) and its downstream petroleum development plans, the resulting transformative economic potential of AI is significantly constrained by implementation challenges.

This conclusion is founded on an integrated analysis of qualitative and quantitative data. The qualitative evidence confirms the existence of strategic alignment, primarily derived from a systematic examination of policy documents and industry reports. However, the same qualitative analysis highlights severe practical obstacles, which include a lack of digitally competent workers, inadequate infrastructure and pervasive organizational inertia or resistance to change within traditional industries. These challenges are consistent with broader literature on AI adoption in developing countries and effectively restrict the impact of AI to mere enhancements in operational efficiency, rather than catalyzing substantial economic growth or mitigating the persistent trade deficit.

In the context of this mixed-methods research, the null hypothesis (H03) – positing either a lack of significant effect from strategic alignment or the irrelevance of implementation challenges – is rejected based on the cumulative weight of evidence, not solely on inferential statistical tests. The process of triangulation is crucial: qualitative data on alignment and challenges provide a necessary explanatory framework, elucidating why quantitative findings show only a modest impact of AI on broader macroeconomic outcomes like the trade deficit despite high adoption rates. Therefore, the integrated findings conclusively demonstrate that both strategic alignment and these implementation challenges are critical and influential factors in determining the success of AI initiatives within the downstream petroleum sector.

## Discussion

A study employed a mixed-methods approach to investigate the complex interplay among AI-driven technological transformation, downstream petroleum demand and Indonesia's economic growth, addressing a gap in the literature. The findings contribute to existing scholarship by providing empirical data and qualitative insights specific to the Indonesian context.

### Interpretation of results and contribution to knowledge

A mixed-methods study found a significant positive correlation between AI adoption and operational efficiency in Indonesia's downstream petroleum sector (supporting H1). Quantitative modeling indicated only a moderate impact of AI on the oil and gas trade balance and GDP growth (partially supporting H2). Qualitative findings confirmed strategic policy alignment but highlighted constraints due to infrastructure gaps, skills shortages and organizational inertia (supporting H3 and rejecting H03). The research emphasizes that AI effectiveness is mediated by broader factors, contributing to literature on technological adoption in emerging economies.

### The paradox: Micro-success vs macro-constraint

The research establishes a critical paradox within Indonesia's energy sector. Quantitative modeling first confirmed a significant positive correlation between AI adoption and operational efficiency in the downstream petroleum sector (supporting H1), validating the technological promise of AI at the micro-level. This micro-level success, such as efficiency gains in logistics and demand forecasting, confirms the potential for digital optimization highlighted by scholars in the region [3].

However, the analysis simultaneously revealed that this operational success does not translate into significant, immediate macroeconomic impact (partially supporting H2). While AI-driven efficiency gains demonstrate a statistically significant, positive influence on the oil and gas trade balance and overall GDP growth, their contribution remains modest. This moderate macroeconomic impact suggests AI is not yet fundamentally altering Indonesia's structural dependency on imported downstream products. This disconnect forms the central finding of the research. It necessitates the sequential explanatory approach employed [1], where the qualitative findings (H3) are used to directly explain the limitation of the quantitative results (H2).

### Academic contribution and synthesis

The research begins by confirming existing literature on technology adoption, finding a positive correlation between AI adoption and operational efficiency (H1). This validates the technological promise of digitalization at the micro-level, aligning with established gains documented in logistics optimization [3]. However, the study immediately pivots to provide a critical, nuanced perspective on macroeconomic outcomes (H2). It reveals a highly constrained aggregate impact on national indicators like the trade deficit, a finding that empirically substantiates scholarship that has long observed AI's limited effect in developing economies struggling with underlying structural dependencies [9]. This divergence – where micro-success fails to deliver macro-returns – establishes the central paradox of the research.

The unique academic contribution of this study lies in its methodological approach, employing the sequential explanatory method to uncover the systemic friction behind this paradox. This synthesis of quantitative and qualitative data empirically demonstrates that micro-level technological success does not guarantee macro-level economic returns. By using the qualitative findings (H3) to directly explain the constraints on the quantitative results (H2), the research moves beyond merely identifying a problem; it provides a comprehensive diagnostic of the institutional failure.

Specifically, the identified implementation challenges (H3) provide granular, sector-level evidence for structural warnings found in the policy literature. The constraints observed across digital infrastructure and human capital directly corroborate the micro-level barriers to AI adoption faced by Indonesian small and medium enterprises, lending empirical weight to the concerns raised by scholars regarding resource limitations [10]. This shows that the difficulties encountered by a national state-

owned enterprise are fundamentally the same as those facing a small enterprise when scaling digital technology.

Furthermore, the structural issues observed – particularly the policy implementation gap – confirm the systemic constraints noted in the national energy transition literature. The study’s findings reinforce the warnings that strategic intent, even when codified in documents like the National AI Strategy (Stranas KA), is insufficient without direct institutional action [6, 11]. This demonstrates that the national ambition to achieve the “Golden Indonesia 2045” vision is being undermined by bottlenecks in policy execution and institutional inertia.

Ultimately, this research serves as a potent cautionary case study for emerging markets. It illustrates that the full realization of AI’s economic promise depends not just on acquiring technology, but on robust infrastructure, human capital development, and overcoming organizational inertia. The study concludes that strategic policy direction is not enough; it must be coupled with the political will and structural remediation necessary to address these underlying systemic challenges, adding crucial empirical weight to the theoretical concerns regarding technological leapfrogging.

### **Conclusion**

This study successfully diagnosed the multifaceted challenge of leveraging AI for economic development in Indonesia’s downstream petroleum sector, utilizing a sequential explanatory mixed-methods approach. Our analysis confirms that AI investment is effective at the micro-level, demonstrating clear improvements in operational efficiency (H1 supported). However, this micro-level success does not translate proportionally into significant macroeconomic gains – specifically in sustainably reducing the oil and gas trade deficit (H2 partially supported/limited).

The qualitative phase explained this friction (H3), revealing that the primary obstacles are structural, centering on a fundamental disconnect between high-level policy (Stranas KA) and ground-level infrastructure and human capital capacity. Therefore, the strategic focus for Indonesia must pivot from emphasizing the rhetoric of AI’s transformative potential to adopting practical, holistic strategies that directly address these systemic constraints. To realize AI’s full economic contribution, the government must move the National AI Strategy (Stranas KA) from an aspirational framework to an enforceable sectoral mandate, which requires establishing a Joint AI Implementation Task Force between relevant ministries.

Concurrently, capital expenditure must shift towards targeted investments in dedicated digital networks linking energy-critical infrastructure, while mandating partnerships between state-owned enterprises and national universities to establish AI Governance and Data Science Academies to close the critical skills gap. This integrated approach, which views AI as part of a solution to structural deficits rather than a standalone fix, is essential for the downstream sector to significantly contribute to the nation’s economic resilience and growth.

### **Limitations of the study**

The study’s findings should be interpreted within its defined boundaries. The primary limitation is the necessary reliance on aggregated or proxy data for AI investment due to the general unavailability of high-resolution, sector-specific data. This restriction affects the precision of the quantitative impact measures. Additionally, the qualitative component was confined by a small number of expert interviews, which – while offering critical insights – limits the breadth of institutional perspectives gathered. Finally, the study’s temporal focus up to 2024 inherently means it does not capture the long-term, potentially more transformative, effects of AI, which often require a multi-year horizon to fully materialize.

### **Future research**

Based on the findings and limitations of this study, several avenues for future research are recommended to advance the understanding of AI’s impact in emerging economies. First, a longitudinal



study is warranted to track AI implementation over an extended period, such as a decade, which could reveal the sustained, long-term effects on the downstream petroleum sector and the broader economy. To support this, more robust quantitative analyses are necessary, requiring the gathering of granular, sector-specific data on AI investment and performance metrics to overcome the current limitations of aggregated data.

Furthermore, a regional comparative study could provide valuable insights into best practices and contextual challenges by analyzing AI adoption in Indonesia against that of neighboring ASEAN countries. A dedicated qualitative investigation into the mechanisms and effectiveness of AI policy implementation should be pursued to identify the specific factors that either hinder or accelerate progress at the institutional level. Finally, further research should explore the specific impact of AI adoption on human capital within the downstream sector, examining how technological change affects skill requirements, potential job displacement, and the necessity for national reskilling programs.

## REFERENCES

1. Creswell J.W., Creswell J.D. (2018) *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*, 5<sup>th</sup> ed., London: SAGE Publications, Inc.
2. Flick U. (2018) *Doing triangulation and mixed methods*, London: SAGE Publications Ltd. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781529716634>
3. Hidayat D.W., Mulyono N.B. (2025) Optimizing fuel distribution costs through vehicle routing problem modeling in Jakarta-Tanjung Gerem terminals. *Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen Kesatuan*, 13 (5), 3873–3884. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.37641/jimkes.v13i5.3547>
4. Hanan A., Swastika M.B., Rohmawati H.M. (2024) *Effective downstream policy strategies for oil, gas, and mining sub-sectors in Indonesia*, Purnomo Yusgiantoro Center. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33116/pyc-br-5>
5. Kumar P., Dadwal S., Verma R., Kumar S. (2025) *Digital transformation for business sustainability and growth in emerging markets*, UK: Emerald Publishing. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1108/9781835491096>
6. Loy N., Rachmawati I., Issundari S., Soesilo J. (2024) Barriers to Indonesia's energy transition. *The Indonesian Journal of Planning and Development*, 9 (2), 54–65. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.14710/ijpd.9.2.54-65>
7. Muljono W., Setiyawati S., Sudarsana, Setiawati P.P. (2021) Barriers to ICT Adoption by SMEs in Indonesia: How to Bridge the Digital Disparity? *Jurnal Aplikasi Manajemen*, 19 (1), 69–81. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21776/ub.jam.2021.019.01.07>
8. Muljono W., Pertiwi S.P., Kusuma D.P.S. (2021) Online shopping: Factors affecting consumer's continuance intention to purchase. *St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University Journal. Economics*, 14 (1), 7–20. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.18721/JE.14101>
9. Muljono W., Setiyawati S. (2022) Digital economy: the main power for digital industry in Indonesia. *International Journal of Trade and Global Markets (IJTGM)*, 15 (4), 423–444. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJTGM.2022.125908>
10. Maghfirah P., Eni Y. (2024) The impact of artificial intelligence (AI) adoption on the productivity of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) industries in Indonesia: High cost, lack of knowledge, and inadequate infrastructure as mediation variables. *International Journal of Business Management and Economic Review*, 7 (3), 128–145. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.35409/IJBMER.2024.3584>
11. Resosudarmo B.P., Rezki J.F., Effendi Y. (2023) Prospects of energy transition in Indonesia. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*, 59 (2), 149–177. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1080/00074918.2023.2238336>
12. Sheridan A. (2023) Indonesia Upstream Oil & Gas Strategic Plan (Iog 4.0). *Proceedings of the 47<sup>th</sup> Indonesian Petroleum Association Annual Convention*. [online] Available at: <https://www.ipa.or.id/id/publications/indonesia-upstream-oil-gas-strategic-plan-iog-4-0> [Accessed 01.11.2025].
13. Suroso D.S.A., Prilandita N., Anindito D.B., Hastari M.A. (2022) *Indonesia: Enhancing the private sector's roles in climate-energy policies towards the Indonesian NDC target*, Strengthen national climate policy implementation: Comparative empirical learning & creating linkage to climate finance (SNAPFI) Country Study Report, DIW Berlin.

**СВЕДЕНИЯ ОБ АВТОРАХ / INFORMATION ABOUT AUTHORS**

**МУЛЬОНО Вирьянта**

E-mail: wiryantamuljono@gmail.com

**Wiryanta MULJONO**

E-mail: wiryantamuljono@gmail.com

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8679-7767>

**СЕТИАВАТИ Шри**

E-mail: srisetiyawati84@gmail.com

**Sri SETIYAWATI**

E-mail: srisetiyawati84@gmail.com

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7330-2371>

**СЕТИАВАТИ Приянка Пертиви**

E-mail: priyankapertiwisetiawati@gmail.com

**Priyanka Pertiwi SETIAWATI**

E-mail: priyankapertiwisetiawati@gmail.com

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0856-8242>

**СЕТЬЯНТО Падманабха Адякса**

E-mail: padmanabhaadyaksasetyanto@gmail.com

**Padmanabha Adyaksa SETYANTO**

E-mail: padmanabhaadyaksasetyanto@gmail.com

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0009-0004-4639-0961>

*Поступила: 27.09.2025; Одобрена: 28.10.2025; Принята: 29.10.2025.*

*Submitted: 27.09.2025; Approved: 28.10.2025; Accepted: 29.10.2025.*